

## Clearinghouse of systematic reviews on occupational health and safety topics

### Duration of the project

2009 -2013

### Background

Evidence from scientific research is increasingly used to support evidence-based policy making. This is not possible without good summaries of the available evidence in the form of systematic reviews of the literature. Therefore, better coordination of systematic review production in the occupational health and safety field is essential in developing evidence-based policy. Examples of successful international research collaboration on systematic reviewing are the International Agency on Cancer Research and the Cochrane Collaboration. However, these organisations cover only part of the field of Occupational Safety and Health. There are many more questions and policy topics that would need underpinning with evidence and for which there are currently no collaborative efforts. Some of these other topics are: causes and diagnosis of occupational diseases other than cancer and of injuries, economic evaluation and prognosis of occupational diseases.

### Objectives

The Clearinghouse of Systematic Reviews aims at answering important policy questions by presenting the results of good OSH systematic reviews. The project goes beyond systematic reviews of intervention studies and also includes systematic reviews of etiological studies, prognostic, diagnostic and prevalence studies.

The first objective is to **facilitate knowledge transfer from scientific research into OSH policy** making. By making systematic reviews available from many different sources and presenting them in a systematic way, the knowledge is more easily accessible. On request we will also summarise the contents in the form of an overview of reviews on a specific topic.

The second objective is to **coordinate the conducting of systematic reviews in the field of occupational health and safety to prevent duplication of work.**

The third objective is to **bring together expertise in this area to improve the quality and the usability of systematic reviews.**

### Deliverables

The results are presented in a table at the Perosh Clearing House website:  
<http://www.perosh.eu/p/clearinghouse>

### Research methods

A rigorous methodology and quality criteria are used to perform the systematic search for identifying the reviews according to their topic. The literature search comprises several predefined steps such as defining a specific and clear question, identifying all relevant records in electronic databases by using a specific terminology, assessing the study quality and selecting the eligible studies. In order to ensure high quality, the entire process for study selection requires the involvement of at least two reviewers. In addition, the quality of the reviews is assessed.

**Scientific relevance**

Currently, there is a lack of methods for knowledge transfer in OSH. Increasing the availability of systematic reviews is thus far not well developed. The methodology for overviews of reviews is still in its infancy. The quality of OSH systematic reviews should be improved.

**Practical/societal relevance**

To increase the transparency of OSH policy, it is very important that scientific evidence on OSH topics is more readily accessible to policy makers, practitioners and researchers. This Clearinghouse website is one of the few places that offers this possibility.

**Project leader**

Finnish Institute of Occupational Health (FIOH, Finland), Dr Jos Verbeek, [jos.verbeek@ttl.fi](mailto:jos.verbeek@ttl.fi)

**Project participants**

Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (BAuA, Germany)  
Central Institute for Labour Protection - National Research Institute (CIOP-PIB, Poland)  
Institute for Occupational Safety and Health of the German Social Accident Insurance (IFA, Germany)  
Research department for Occupational Safety and Prevention, Italian Workers' Compensation Authority (INAIL, ex-ISPEL, Italy)  
National Research Centre for the Working Environment (NRCWE, Denmark)  
National Institute of Occupational Health (STAMI, Norway),  
TNO, Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research (the Netherlands)  
Finnish Institute of Occupational Health (FIOH, Finland)

**More information**

*Nele Roskams, European Affairs Coordinator, [nele.roskams@perosh.eu](mailto:nele.roskams@perosh.eu), T +32 2 643 44 62*